

## **GENERAL MEETING AGENDA**

# TO BE HELD AT THE TURKEY BEACH COMMUNITY HALL WORTHINGTON ROAD, TURKEY BEACH QLD 4678

On 6 August 2019

Commencing at 9.00am

Leisa Dowling
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

### **Table of Contents**

TEM	PAGE
G/1. MAYORAL STATEMENT OF CUR	RENT ISSUES3
G/2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	4
G/2.1. CONFIRMATION OF GENERA	AL MEETING MINUTES FOR 16 JULY 20194
G/3. OFFICERS' REPORTS	5
G/3.1. OFFICER'S REPORTS	5
G/3.1.1. CHANGES TO DELEGATIO	NS AND ANNUAL REVIEW OF DELEGATIONS -
COUNCIL TO CEO	5
G/3.1.2. REVIEW OF STAFF RECO	GNITION POLICY10
G/3.1.3. REVIEW OF PROCUREMEN	NT POLICY13
G/3.1.4. REGIONAL ARTS DEVELOR	PMENT FUND (RADF) - COMMITTEE TERMS OF
REFERENCE	15
G/3.1.5. OUTCOME OF DECISION D	ELEGATED TO MAYOR AT GENERAL MEETING
16 APRIL 2019	20
G/4. DEPUTATIONS	22
G/4.1. DEPUTATIONS	22
G/5. COUNCILLORS REPORT	23
G/6. URGENT BUSINESS	24
G/7. NOTICE OF MOTION	25
G/8. CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS	26

### **G/1. MAYORAL STATEMENT OF CURRENT ISSUES**

### G/2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

### G/2.1. CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL MEETING MINUTES FOR 16 JULY 2019

**Responsible Officer: Chief Executive Officer** 

**Council Meeting Date: 6 August 2019** 

File Ref: CM7.2

### **Purpose:**

Confirmation of the minutes of the General Meeting held on 16 July 2019.

### Officer's Recommendation:

That the minutes of the General Meeting of Council held on 16 July 2019 be confirmed.

### **Attachments:**

1. Minutes of the General Meeting of Council held on 16 July 2019.

### Tabled Items:

Nil.

Report Prepared by: Executive Secretary

### G/3. OFFICERS' REPORTS

### G/3.1. OFFICER'S REPORTS

## G/3.1.1. CHANGES TO DELEGATIONS AND ANNUAL REVIEW OF DELEGATIONS - COUNCIL TO CEO

Responsible Officer: General Manager Finance Governance and Risk

Council Meeting Date: 6 August 2019

File Ref: CM9.2

### Purpose:

Seeking Council's review of delegations to the Chief Executive Officer incorporating legislative changes that have occurred in 2019 to the 9 April, and the annual review of all statutory delegations.

### Officer's Recommendation:

That the powers contained in Attachment 1 'Delegations Register – Exercise of Statutory Powers – Council to CEO' are hereby delegated by Council to the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

### Background:

There are many pieces of legislation that Council has an obligation to administer or enforce either in whole or in part.

In many instances the power to make decisions under legislation is given to 'Local Government' or 'Council' or 'the entity'. Where a power is given in this way, it is expected that the power is to be exercised by the elected body of Council (i.e. via Council resolution at a Council Meeting). The elected body of Council can 'share' in the exercise of its powers through delegation to the Chief Executive Officer who may further sub-delegate to other positions within the organisation (except where legislation specifically prohibits delegation and sub-delegation).

It is important to note that Council as an elected body is not 'giving away' this power by delegating; it can still exercise any power. The process of Council sharing its legislative powers through delegation and sub-delegation allows staff to administer legislative responsibilities and make decisions efficiently without the need for a formal Council resolution.

Members will recall from the Officer report of the 2 October 2018, that Council moved to utilising the Local Government Association of Queensland's (LGAQ) delegations service last year which is provided as part of Council's annual LGAQ membership. This service which is maintained by legal firm, King and Company, identifies powers under legislation, which can be delegated by Council.

There have been several legislative changes in early 2019 that impact on delegations with these changes presented as tracked changes in Attachment 2 (red text). The entries that have green highlight are new powers as a result of new legislation, or legislation that has not previously been included in the LGAQ delegation registers.

A summary of the major changes are listed below:

- 1. Aged Care Act 1997 minor changes made to wording of some provisions due to the introduction of the Aged Care Quality and Safety Commission Act 2018.
- 2. Animal Care and Protection Act 2001 New provisions included as a result of Schedule 3A Code of practice for breeding of dogs.
- 3. Building Regulation 2006 New and changed requirements regarding professional development, indemnity insurance, approved code of conduct for pool safety inspectors, demerit points, combustible cladding and associated notifications.
- 4. *Environmental Protection Regulation 2008* new and changed requirements regarding clinical waste and retesting of waste and associated notifications and recordkeeping.
- 5. Fire and Emergency Service Act 1990 Minor wording change to contributions raised via special rates or charges.
- 6. Heavy Vehicle National Law (Qld) Changed provisions relating to recordkeeping in electronic diaries, driver safety and associated obligations, exemption permits, compliance with mass and dimension limits, keeping of heavy vehicle documents, consent of road managers, container weight declarations, duty of employers, extended liability, accreditation labels, power to acquire information and enforceable undertakings.
- 7. Land Ombudsman Act 2019 This Act establishes a Land Access Ombudsman and Office to investigate and facilitate the timely resolution of disputes about conduct and compensation agreements and make good agreements as it relates to mineral and water resources. It is recommended that all the powers under this Act be shared with the CEO.
- 8. Local Government Act 2009 Formal delegation of financial management, planning and accountability documents; pre-condition to remedial action submission dates; complaints about Councillor conduct and payment of annual superannuation amounts for employees.
- 9. Local Government Regulation 2012 new and changed provisions relating to publishing local government area map and register of local laws, return of special rates or charges, inclusion of other amounts on rate notices, provision of rate information electronically, other provisions about refunds, power to end property acquisition for overdue rates or charges, procedures for acquiring land for overdue rates and charges, keeping and making available land records, discharging responsibilities under the Corporate Plan, operational plan obligations and expenditure, contracting and preferred supplier arrangements, written notice of proposed resolution amendment and power to take account of cost of funds for trustee for trust arrangements.
- 10. *Mineral & Energy Resources (Common Provisions) Act 2014* new power relating to compensation agreements.
- 11. Mineral Resources Act 1999 minor wording changes to existing powers only.
- 12. *Mining and Quarrying Safety and Health Act 1999* Power to appeal against a decision, power to make a written submission and consent to disclosure of information concerning Council.
- 13. Nature Conservation (Protected Areas Management) Regulation 2017 new provisions relating to conservation parks and using fees collected for the management principles for the park.
- 14. *Plumbing and Drainage Act 2018* a new Act has been passed into legislation repealing the *Plumbing and Drainage Act 2002*. It is proposed that the CEO acquire powers in line with the provisions previously in place in the old Act.
- 15. *Plumbing and Drainage Regulation 2019* this is a new regulation to support the new Act. It is proposed to provide the CEO with all delegable powers under the Regulation.
- 16. Public Health Regulation 2018 a new Regulation has been passed to repeal the Public Health Regulation 2005. As the delegable powers under the new Regulation are the same as under the old Regulation, it is proposed to delegate the two powers to the CEO in line with Council's prior decision.
- 17. Residential Services (Accreditation) Act 2002 a minor update to section numbering only.
- 18. Transport Operations (Road Use Management Accreditation and Other Provisions) Act 2015 This is a Regulation that Council has not previously had delegations under. Delegations under this Regulation would allow Officers to apply for a special event permit (I.e. for the purposes of a Council initiated procession or event to which the road rules apply).

- 19. Transport Operations (Road Use Management) Road Rules 2009 This is a Regulation that Council has not previously had delegations under. Delegations under this Regulation mirror some of the provisions in Council's current Parking Local Law such as the power to issue a commercial vehicle identification label for the purposes of stopping in a loading zone and the leading of animals on a road.
- 20. Transport Operations (Road Use Management Vehicle Registration) Regulation 2010 This is a Regulation that Council has not previously had delegations under. Delegations under this Regulation would allow Officers to request information from the State (for a fee) on vehicle registrations for the purposes of issuing parking fines and a provision regarding toll roads should Council have those in the future.
- 21. Waste Reduction and Recycling Regulation 2011 new powers should Council elect to enter into recovery sharing arrangements (ie. power to sign the notice).
- 22. Water Act 2000 New powers relating to consultation with the State in relation to temporary release of water from a reserve; power to comply with a requirement for additional information as it relates to water permits; requesting referral of matters to a referral panel; compliance with a directions order; power as a client to disclose commercially sensitive information and consent to amending a license.
- 23. Water Regulation 2016 new powers relating to requirements for faulty meters and validation certificates.

Under the *Local Government Act 2009*, Council must each year, review all delegations to the Chief Executive Officer (refer Legal Environment and Policy Implications Section below). This annual review was last conducted in August 2018. Accordingly, this report requests that Council also review all delegations to the Chief Executive Officer as presented in Attachment 1 and if supported, consider the adoption of the Officer recommendation.

### Consideration:

Should Council elect not to delegate all the powers listed in the Attachment 1 to the Chief Executive Officer as per the recommendation, it may wish to pass an alternative resolution which excludes some powers. The resolution could read as follows:

'That subject to the exclusion of the following powers:

- Insert power to be excluded
- Insert power to be excluded

the remaining powers contained in Attachment 1 'Delegations Register – Exercise of Statutory Powers – Council to CEO' are hereby delegated by Council to the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009*.'

### **Communication and Consultation (Internal/External):**

Manager Governance General Manager Finance Governance and Risk Chief Executive Officer

### **Legal Environmental and Policy Implications:**

Section 257(5) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, provides that Council must review all delegations to the CEO annually:

### 257 Delegation of local government powers

- (1) A local government may, by resolution, delegate a power under this Act or another Act to—
  - (a) the mayor; or
  - (b) the chief executive officer; or
  - (c) a standing committee, or joint standing committee, of the local government; or
  - (d) the chairperson of a standing committee, or joint standing committee, of the local government; or
  - (e) another local government, for the purposes of a joint government activity.
  - (2) However, a local government may only delegate a power to make a decision about a councillor's conduct under section 150AG to—
    - (a) the mayor; or
    - (b) a standing committee of the local government.
  - (3) Also, a local government must not delegate a power that an Act states must be exercised by resolution.
  - (4) A *joint standing committee*, of the local government, is a committee consisting of councillors of 2 or more of the local governments.
  - (5) A delegation to the chief executive officer under subsection (1) must be reviewed annually by the local government.

### **Financial and Resource Implications:**

There are no direct costs to Council in delegating statutory powers to the Chief Executive Officer.

Delegating powers to the Chief Executive Officer results in time and resource savings in the operations of Council as it allows those matters that Council is comfortable being managed operationally, to be actioned in an efficient and effective manner.

### Commentary:

Not applicable

### Summary:

Not applicable.

### **Anticipated Resolution Completion Date:**

13 August 2019

#### Attachments:

- 1. Attachment 1 Register of Delegations Exercise of Statutory Powers Council to CEO
- 2. Attachment 2 Register of Delegations Exercise of Statutory Powers Council to CEO tracked changes

_				
Tэ	h	hαl	lter	ne:

Nil.

Report Prepared by: Governance Advisor and Manager Governance

#### G/3.1.2. REVIEW OF STAFF RECOGNITION POLICY

Responsible Officer: General Manager Finance Governance and Risk

Council Meeting Date: 6 August 2019

File Ref: CM28.2, CM29.2

### Purpose:

To propose repealing the current Staff Recognition Policy and replace with an Employee Reward and Recognition Corporate Standard.

### Officer's Recommendation:

That Council repeal P-2014/18 Staff Recognition Policy, noting it will be replaced with an Employee Reward and Recognition Corporate Standard.

### **Background:**

The Staff Recognition Policy is due for review in line with updating how we recognise and reward our people as the next stage of implementing the People Services Strategy that aligns Council's vision, mission and SERVICE values to Council's Corporate Plan Strategic Goal 3: Our people, Our Values and Strategic Goal 4: Ethical and Responsible Government.

A review of the policy has occurred in line with the recently adopted People & Culture Policy to ensure our suite of People related policies and corporate standards are aligned. Accordingly, as one of our strategic principles of our People and Culture Commitment Statement Policy is to recognise our people, it is proposed that the current Staff Recognition Policy be repealed and replaced with an Employee Reward & Recognition Corporate Standard to support this policy principle.

Differences in the proposed corporate standard and current policy are:

- Include informal reward and recognition ideas for leaders to implement
- Include SERVICE Awards program
- Include encouragement for leaders to nominate their teams for industry awards
- Changes to the service anniversary awards including:
  - Employees to receive recognition on each anniversary identified in the corporate standard, rather than as a voucher in the mail when they cease employment with Council
  - A simplified and fairer definition of "continuous service" for the purpose of this
    document and calculating service anniversary (eg, unpaid maternity leave will now
    count as service, as well as other periods of authorised unpaid leave)
- Includes a Council paid morning tea/lunch/afternoon tea for all those who retire or resign from Council after 10 years continuous service

### Consideration:

The corporate standard is proposed to be effective from date of adoption / repeal of current policy with all employees who have reached a milestone since employment to be eligible to receive the closest milestone met at time of adoption. For example, if an employee is in their 22<sup>nd</sup> year of employment, they would receive their 20 year milestone this year and then would receive recognition once each *new* milestone is met. This implementation is proposed to reduce the risk of disproportionate recognition for long term employees.

### **Communication and Consultation (Internal/External):**

The proposed corporate standard has been developed in conjunction with Governance and People, Culture and Safety. Internal discussions have occurred with the Executive team in May and June 2019. The initial draft was workshopped with the Joint Consultative Committee on 29 May 2019 along with leaders feedback sought up until 11 June 2019.

### **Legal Environmental and Policy Implications:**

Adoption of the recommendation will result in repealing the existing Policy with a new Corporate Standard to be adopted by the Chief Executive Officer.

### Financial and Resource Implications:

The estimated cost of the proposed changes to service anniversary gifts is approximately \$15,000 per year however an initial cost estimate to implement milestone awards this year is approximately \$50,000. There is a current budget allocation for service anniversary gifts for this year.

In addition, there are costs also associated with holding annual events and is subject to the type of event and number of attendees.

Fringe Benefits Tax (FBT) will also apply for the following items:

- Cash bonus for 50 years service given for length of service
- Any morning tea, lunch or afternoon tea or social function provided to employees and paid for by Council

Costs for annual events and FBT has been included in the 19/20 budget. It is also noted that concerted effort has been made organisationally to reduce FBT costs within the business with a reduction of \$157,085 achieved over the past three financial years (largely due to reduction in motor vehicle FBT expenses).

Authoritad Board (for Ormalatha Bata
Nil.
Summary:
Nil.
Commentary:
motor vehicle FBT expenses).

### **Anticipated Resolution Completion Date:**

Within two weeks of resolution.

### **Attachments:**

- 1. Current Staff Recognition Policy P-2014/18
- 2. Proposed Employee Reward and Recognition Corporate Standard CS-2019-14

### **Tabled Items:**

Nil.

Report Prepared by: Manager Governance

### G/3.1.3. REVIEW OF PROCUREMENT POLICY

Responsible Officer: General Manager Finance Governance and Risk

Council Meeting Date: 6 August 2019

File Ref: CM28.2

### **Purpose:**

To recommend adoption of minor changes to the Procurement Policy.

### Officer's Recommendation:

That Council:

- 1. Repeal P-2018-12 Procurement Policy;
- 2. Adopt P-2019-16 Procurement Policy.

### Background:

The review of the Procurement Policy occurs annually in accordance with requirements of the *Local Government Regulation 2012*. This policy was due for review in July 2019 with the proposed policy attached for consideration.

#### Consideration:

There are minor changes proposed to the existing policy as outlined in the attached tracked changes version (attachment 3). These changes are proposed to clarify terminology and linkages to the Local Government Act and Regulation. One other key change is to include a principle for 'considering emerging technologies and innovation as part of the procurement process' to link to Council's SERVICE values as adopted in the Corporate Plan.

### **Communication and Consultation (Internal/External):**

Procurement and Contracts team have been engaged in the review process and made recommendations for improvements as outlined. Executive team has undertaken review and support changes proposed.

### **Legal Environmental and Policy Implications:**

Section 198 of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* requires a local government to prepare and adopt a policy about procurement. In addition, the procurement policy must be reviewed annually.

### Financial and Resource Implications:

There are no additional financial or resource implications of the proposed policy. Procurement is required to be carried out within relevant budget constraints and financial delegations.

### Commentary:

### **Summary:**

Nil.

### **Anticipated Resolution Completion Date:**

Within one month of resolution.

### Attachments:

- 1. Current P-2018-12 Procurement Policy
- 2. Proposed P-2019-16 Procurement Policy
- 3. Proposed P-2019-16 Procurement Policy (tracked changes)

### **Tabled Items:**

Nil.

Report Prepared by: Manager Governance

## G/3.1.4. REGIONAL ARTS DEVELOPMENT FUND (RADF) - COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE

Responsible Officer: General Manager Community Development and Events

Council Meeting Date: 6 August 2019

File Ref: CC7.16

### Purpose:

This report presents the background to the Regional Arts Development Fund Committee and seeks Council's consideration of the review of the governance options for the Regional Arts Development Fund Committee.

#### Officer's Recommendation:

#### That Council:

- 1. Retain the Regional Arts Development Fund (RADF) Committee as a community committee; and
- 2. Adopt the Terms of Reference for the Regional Arts Development Fund (RADF) Committee as tabled.

### **Background:**

Council's Operational Plan 2018/2019 set a target to 'Review existing and develop new organisational committees that are aligned to strategic objectives' with all current committees to be reviewed (and revised where needed). Accordingly, a review of the current governance arrangements for the Regional Arts Development Fund (RADF) has occurred.

The Gladstone RADF Committee was established for the sole purpose of overseeing and recommending to Council matters arising out of the Gladstone RADF Program. The Gladstone Regional Art Gallery and Museum (GRAGM) has the following information on their website which provides a very good high-level overview of the program and what it delivers:

"The Gladstone Region Regional Arts Development Fund (RADF) is a partnership between the Queensland Government and Gladstone Regional Council to support local arts and culture in regional Queensland.

In 2018-19, the Queensland Government, through Arts Queensland, is contributing \$2.08 million in RADF partnership with 58 councils statewide, to help deliver cultural experiences which align with local priorities.

There are six funding categories:

- 1. Developing Regional Skills
- 2. Building Community Cultural Capacity
- 3. Regional Partnerships
- 4. Cultural Tourism
- 5. Concept Development
- 6. Contemporary Collections / Stories.

Local arts and cultural groups and individuals, can apply for funding for specific short-term projects during two rounds each year, closing each March and August."

Council makes application to the State each year to access funding under the RADF scheme and if successful the program is managed via an agreement between Arts Queensland and Gladstone Regional Council. A copy of the 2018-19 application and funding agreement has been reviewed by Officers as part of the review process.

There is no provision in the 2018-19 RADF funding agreement that requires Council to form a committee to assist Council in deciding RADF applications. However, Arts Queensland advice does indicate that forming local RADF Committees is best practice in administering the funding. Having a Committee does ensure that there is a broader knowledge base available to Council as well as creating a platform for multiple community views to assist with assessments, give advice on funding and obtaining a regional perspective on local arts and cultural needs for the region.

### Membership

GRAGM provides the following overview of Membership of the current RADF Committee on its website:

"The Gladstone RADF Committee is a group of informed representatives who reflect the diverse arts, culture and geography of the Council area. The committee acts as a focus group for arts and culture in the Gladstone Region and makes recommendations to Council for eligible grant submissions each funding round.

Local artists, arts and cultural workers, members of cultural groups, local organisations or associations and people active within the arts community are eligible to be members of their local RADF Committee. Committee members can be elected through a public election by either show of hands or secret ballot. They can also be invited onto the Committee by Council. Council appoints two ex-officio members, Gladstone Regional Art Gallery & Museum Curator / Manager and Manager Events & Entertainment, as well as support staff member, RADF Liaison Officer.

Committee members participate in RADF Committee training, promote the RADF Program within their own networks and provide mentoring assistance to grant applicants. Members may serve for a maximum term of 4 years, with an option to step down after two years. Committee members who have served for a continuous four-year term are required to 'rest' for a period of 12 months before being eligible for re-election.

The 2018 Annual General Meeting was held at the Gladstone Regional Art Gallery & Museum, Wednesday 5 September 2018, with the following community nominations endorsed at the Gladstone Regional Council General Meeting, Tuesday 2 October 2018. Accepted to the 2018-19 committee:

- Wesley Walker
- Belynda (Bindi) Waugh
- Tina Skyring-Quirk
- Adrienne Ward
- Jennifer Ryan
- Michael Lunan
- Hannah Rodda
- Lorna McGrath
- Michael Connolly
- Chantelle Johnson

Cr Glenn Churchill chairs the Committee and is appointed by Council.

Committee and community training opportunities are offered, side-by-side throughout the year and all are encouraged to participate. The 2019 RADF AGM will be held during September 2019, at the Gladstone Regional Art Gallery & Museum."

### **Decision Making**

The Committee has no decision-making power and refers all decisions on funding applications through to Council via an Officer report and recommendation.

There is currently no formal Terms of Reference document from Council to the Committee to define its scope of responsibilities. It is suggested that Council may wish to formalise this via a Terms of Reference.

### Feedback on current operation of the Committee

The Regional Art Gallery and Museum team consider how the Committee is currently structured and operating is best practice. The two funding rounds (with the ability to consider one-off applications out of round if needed) works well. It is efficient and effective and having the mix of membership has served the committee well given its regional spread and the access to specialty knowledge bases. Therefore, at this time Officers consider from their perspective that no changes are required to the Committee.

The Committee also currently assists Council in an advisory capacity for proposed public artworks.

#### Consideration:

### **Options & Risk Analysis:**

## Option 1 – Continue to operate the Regional Arts Development Fund Committee as a non-legislative Committee

It is recommended that the RADF Committee continue to operate as a community committee, rather than a legislatively constituted Advisory Committee under the *Local Government Regulation 2012*, and that decision making on grant applications continue to be decided by Council through the officer report and recommendation process. It is further suggested that Council formalise the role of the Committee through the development of a Terms of Reference which may include other functions in addition to the consideration of local RADF funding applications.

This option is recommended for the following reasons:

- It assists in achieving the following Council Corporate Plan objectives being
  - \* inclusive creative arts and culture activities:
  - \* Positive engagement processes and our community actively participate in providing the organisation with feedback and contributing to community decisions;
  - \* increased volunteerism in the Gladstone Region;
  - \* increased community involvement in local government.
- It supports transparency and openness by documenting and recording the Committee's governance processes;
- It supports and empowers the arts and cultural community in pursing local arts and cultural priorities;
- It allows applications for funding to be assessed by peers in the local community in a closed forum prior to recommending to Council for decision in a public forum;
- The Committee provides a platform to facilitate local arts and cultural networking opportunities:
- There is no cost to Council as members participate in a voluntary capacity.

### Option 2 - Constitute the RADF Committee as an Advisory Committee to Council

An alternative option would be to constitute the Committee as an Advisory Committee to Council under Section 264 of the *Local Government Regulation 2012*. There are advantages and disadvantages to this model.

The advantages of this option are:

- Council could consider delegating the decision making on funding applications direct to the Committee to remove the potential conflict of interest issues associated with elected members deciding applications (It should be noted that Council could also consider this under Option 1);
- It could be considered more transparent as there would be a requirement for meetings to be open to the public..

The disadvantages of this option are:

- If the responsibility for deciding funding applications is devolved to the Committee under an Advisory Committee structure it would result in:
  - (a) the potential conflict of interest issues associated with decision making on funding applications transferring to Committee members; and
  - (b) there is reduced oversight by elected members in relation to decision making for funding applications;
- If meetings are open to the public, there is potential for members to be less open in their discussion and assessment of funding applications.

On balance, there does not appear to be any significant overriding need or advantage for the Committee to be constituted as a formal Advisory Committee to Council.

### **Communication and Consultation (Internal/External):**

- Manager Gladstone Regional Art Gallery and Museum
- RADF Committee
- Manager Governance
- Governance Advisor
- Manager Events and Entertainment
- Executive Team

### **Legal Environmental and Policy Implications:**

RADF is a financial partnership between the Queensland Government, through Arts Queensland, and Gladstone Regional Council.

RADF is informed by Council's Arts & Culture Policy adopted 18 June 2019.

### Financial and Resource Implications:

Council has budgeted \$133,740 (gross) for the RADF program in 2018-19, operating to 30 September, which includes \$55,000 from the Queensland Government.

Com	ment	arv:
~~:::		.w. v.

Not applicable.

### **Summary:**

RADF and the funding partnership offered by Arts Queensland is an important component of arts and culture development in Gladstone Region. Formalising the Committee's role and responsibilities via a Terms of Reference ensures certainty for Council and the Committee.

### **Anticipated Resolution Completion Date:**

16 August 2019

### Attachments:

1. Draft Terms of RADF Committee

### Tabled Items:

Nil.

Report Prepared by: Cultural Projects Supervisor / Governance Advisor

## G/3.1.5. OUTCOME OF DECISION DELEGATED TO MAYOR AT GENERAL MEETING 16 APRIL 2019

**Responsible Officer: Chief Executive Officer** 

Council Meeting Date: 6 August 2019

File Ref: PRJ-076, RD5.1

### Purpose:

Confirmation of decision made by the Mayor where Council delegated the decision making power (Resolution G/19/ 3737) in accordance with section 257(1)(a) of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

#### Officer's Recommendation:

That Council note the following decision made by the Mayor from the below Officer's Report at the General Meeting of 16 April 2019:

#### G/3.2.2 PHILIP STREET COMMUNITIES AND FAMILIES PRECINCT - ASSET NAMING

#### Officer's Recommendation:

That Council utilise the Approved Place Names Register and apply the following names to the two roads to be constructed as part of Stage 1 of the Philip Street Communities and Families Precinct:

- 1. (insert name) Entrance road
- 2. (insert name) Northern road

### **Mayor's Decision**

- 1. That Council add the following names to the Approved Place Names Register:
  - a. Pengelly
  - b. Dave Burns
- 2. That Council utilise the Approved Place Names Register and apply the following names to the two roads to be constructed as part of Stage 1 of the Philip Street Communities & Families Precinct:
  - a. Dave Burns Drive Entrance Road
  - b. Pengelly Street Northern Road

### Reason for Decision:

Dave Burns – Whilst the policy principle is to limit road names to one word only, the use of the full name, Dave Burns, has been approved in this instance to provide recognition of the individual contributions made by Dave Burns as the former Deputy Mayor and his contribution to the local community, especially the local sporting community. In addition, the name Dave Burns is considered easy to pronounce and spell and is unlikely to negatively impact on an individual providing directions in the event of an emergency.

Pengelly – In recognition of the Pengelly family who owned the pig farm on the site many years ago and the significant contribution the Pengelly family have made to the Gladstone Region over many years.

Attachments:
Nil
Tabled Items:
Nil.
Report Prepared by: Strategic Project Specialist

### **G/4. DEPUTATIONS**

### **G/4.1. DEPUTATIONS**

### **G/5. COUNCILLORS REPORT**

### **G/6. URGENT BUSINESS**

### **G/7. NOTICE OF MOTION**

### **G/8. CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS**

### **ATTACHMENTS**